Pseudo-measurement simulations and bootstrap for the experimental cross-section covariances estimation with quality quantification

S. Varet¹

P. Dossantos-Uzarralde¹ N. Vayatis² E. Bauge¹

¹CEA-DAM-DIF

²ENS Cachan

WONDER-2012 September 25-28



Motivations: experimental cross-sections



Evaluated cross sections uncertainty: generalized χ^2 ([VDUVB11])

Motivations: experimental cross-sections covariances

HOW?

• Empirical estimator: only one measurement per energy

$$\operatorname{cov}(F_i, F_j) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (F_i^{(k)} - \bar{F}_i) (F_j^{(k)} - \bar{F}_j)$$

Conventionnal approach: propagation error formula [IBC04],[Kes08]

Motivations: experimental cross-sections covariances

HOW?

- Empirical estimator: only one measurement per energy
- Conventionnal approach: propagation error formula [IBC04],[Kes08]



- \rightarrow the needed informations are rarely available
- → linearity assumption

Motivations: experimental cross-sections covariances

HOW?

- Empirical estimator: only one measurement per energy
- Conventionnal approach: propagation error formula [IBC04],[Kes08]

QUALITY OF THE COVARIANCES ESTIMATION?

Outline

1 Notations

2 Experimental covariances estimation: new method

3 Validation

4 Quality measure of the obtained estimation

5 Conclusion

∃ ► < ∃</p>

4 6 1 1 4

Outline

1 Notations

2 Experimental covariances estimation: new method

3 Validation

4 Quality measure of the obtained estimation

5 Conclusion

Notations

Schematic representation of the experimental cross sections



S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

Outline

1 Notations

2 Experimental covariances estimation: new method

3 Validation

4 Quality measure of the obtained estimation

5 Conclusion

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

Pseudo-measurements method

Given the available information (the measurements and their uncertainty)

The idea:

Repeat the available information in order to artificially increase the number of measurements

The method:

- Construction of a regression model h (SVM, polynomial,...)
- **2** Generation of *r* pseudo-measurements $(S^{(1)}, ..., S^{(r)})$: gaussian noise centered on *h*: $\mathcal{N}((h(E_1), ..., h(E_N))^t, diag(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_N))$
- **3** $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$: empirical estimator of Σ_F
 - Diagonal terms are imposed

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Pseudo-measurements method

Given the available information (the measurements and their uncertainty)

The idea:

Repeat the available information in order to artificially increase the number of measurements

The method:

- Construction of a regression model h (SVM, polynomial,...)
- Generation of *r* pseudo-measurements (S⁽¹⁾, ..., S^(r)): gaussian noise centered on *h*: N((h(E₁), ..., h(E_N))^t, diag(σ₁, ..., σ_N))
- ③ $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$: empirical estimator of Σ_F
- Oiagonal terms are imposed

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

The SVM regression principle [SS04], [VGS97]

Linear case:

Assume $F_i = h(E_i) = w.E_i + b$ with $E_i \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}^s$ (here s = 1). The svm regression model is a solution of the constraint optimisation problem:

flat function: minimize $\frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$ errors lower than ε : subject to $\begin{cases} F_i - (w.E_i + b) \le \varepsilon \\ (w.E_i + b) - F_i \le \varepsilon \end{cases}$

Non linear case:

Find a map of the initial space of energy, (into a higher dimensionnal space) such as the problem becomes linear in the new space (mapping via Kernel).

Pseudo-measurements method

Given the available information (the measurements and their uncertainty)

The idea:

Repeat the available information in order to artificially increase the number of measurements

The method:

- Construction of a regression model *h* (SVM, polynomial,...)
- **②** Generation of *r* pseudo-measurements $(S^{(1)}, ..., S^{(r)})$: gaussian noise centered on *h*: $\mathcal{N}((h(E_1), ..., h(E_N))^t, diag(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_N))$
- **3** $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$: empirical estimator of Σ_F
 - Diagonal terms are imposed

Pseudo-measurements method

 $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$ term at the *i*th line and *j*th column, for *i* and $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$:

$$C_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_i \sigma_j}{n+r} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(F_i^{(k)} - h(E_i))(F_j^{(k)} - h(E_j))}{\sqrt{\widehat{V}_i}\sqrt{\widehat{V}_j}} \\ + \frac{\sigma_i \sigma_j}{n+r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{(S_i^{(k)} - h(E_i))(S_j^{(k)} - h(E_j))}{\sqrt{\widehat{V}_i}\sqrt{\widehat{V}_j}}$$

and

$$C_{ii} = \sigma_i^2$$

where n = 1.

WONDER-2012

11/29

Number r of pseudo-measurements?

Outline

1 Notations

2 Experimental covariances estimation: new method

3 Validation

4 Quality measure of the obtained estimation

5 Conclusion

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

Toy model

Goal:

Compare $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$ with Σ_F : real case: Σ_F is unknown \Rightarrow we build a toy model with Σ_F fixed \Rightarrow numerical validation

Toy Model:

•
$$M := \begin{pmatrix} M^1 \\ \vdots \\ M^N \end{pmatrix} (\equiv F) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_N(m_M, \Sigma_M)$$

• number of *M* realisations n = 1, N = 5

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1.25 \\ -3.49 \\ -0.67 \\ -7.41 \\ -2.29 \end{pmatrix} \Sigma_F = \begin{pmatrix} 9.49 & -1.20 & 2.39 & -0.03 & 0.07 \\ -1.20 & 3.64 & -3.21 & 0.03 & -0.09 \\ 2.39 & -3.21 & 5.27 & 0.48 & 0.10 \\ -0.03 & 0.03 & 0.48 & 6.79 & 0.01 \\ 0.07 & -0.09 & 0.10 & 0.01 & 5.72 \end{pmatrix}$$

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

不同 トイモトイモ

Pseudo-measurements with the 'Toy Model'

Pseudo-measurements with the 'Toy Model'

Pseudo-measurements with the 'Toy Model'

Pseudo-measurements with the 'Toy Model'

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

Pseudo-measurements with the 'Toy Model'

N=5, r = 1 sample of pseudo-measurements

Real matrix

Estimation

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

${}^{55}_{25}Mn$: (n,2n) cross section

Values extracted from [MTN11] N=11, r=1, $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$ is a 11x11 matrix

WONDER-2012 16 / 29

< 6 b

${}^{55}_{25}Mn$: total cross section

Values extracted from EXFOR [EXF] N=399, r=1, $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$ is a 399x399 matrix

How far is the estimation from the real matrix?

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

Experimental covariances

WONDER-2012 17 / 29

< 🗇 🕨

Outline

1 Notations

2 Experimental covariances estimation: new method

3 Validation

4 Quality measure of the obtained estimation

5 Conclusion

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Estimator quality

Criterion choice: quality of $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$ and/or quality of $\widehat{\Sigma_F}^{-1}$? \Rightarrow distance criterion

• Distance between $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$ and Σ_F : Frobenius norm

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{F} - \Sigma_{F}\|_{fro}) = \boldsymbol{E}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}|\widehat{C}_{ij} - C_{ij}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

2 Distance between $\widehat{\Sigma_F}^{-1}$ and Σ_F^{-1} : Kullback-Leibler distance [LRZ08] KL $(\widehat{\Sigma_F}, \Sigma_F) = \operatorname{trace}((\widehat{\Sigma_F})^{-1} \cdot \Sigma_F) - \log(\operatorname{det}(\widehat{\Sigma_F}^{-1} \cdot \Sigma_F)) - N$

$Criterion\ estimation \rightarrow parametric\ bootstrap$

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

Bootstrap principle

$$F: \theta_F, \Sigma_F: \text{ unknown}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$(F^{(1)}, ..., F^{(n)}): h, \widehat{\Sigma}_F: \text{ sample measurements}$$

$$(F'^{(1)}, ..., F'^{(n)})_{1'} \cdots (F'^{(1)}, ..., F'^{(n)})_B \text{ resample measurements}$$

$$\stackrel{\downarrow}{\widehat{\Sigma}_F} \stackrel{\downarrow}{\widehat{\Sigma}_F} \stackrel{\downarrow}{\widehat{\Sigma}_F} \stackrel{\downarrow}{\widehat{\Sigma}_F}$$

Resampling allows to make statistics on $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{E}}(\widehat{\Sigma_F}), \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}(\widehat{\Sigma_F}), \widehat{\operatorname{Bias}}(\widehat{\Sigma_F}), \dots$$

Resample strategy:

- classical: draw with replacement in the initial sample $(F^{(1)}, ..., F^{(n)})$
- parametric: simulations with a fixed law (here $\mathcal{N}(h, \widehat{\Sigma_F})$)

Estimation of $I\!\!E(\|\widehat{\Sigma_F} - \Sigma_F\|_{fro})$ and $KL(\widehat{\Sigma_F}, \Sigma_F)$

 $\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{1^{\operatorname{st}} \operatorname{case}}_{U_{\operatorname{sual}}}: \mbox{ with } r=0, \ \widehat{\Sigma_F} \ \mbox{invertible}\\ \hline \mbox{Usual parametric bootstrap:}\\ (F'^{(1)},...,F'^{(n)})_1,...,(F'^{(1)},...,F'^{(n)})_B \ \mbox{where } F'^{(i)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(H,\widehat{\Sigma_F}) \ \mbox{with}\\ H=(h(E_1),...,h(E_N))^t.\\ \widehat{\Sigma_F}^b \ \mbox{term at the } i^{\rm th} \ \mbox{line and } j^{\rm th} \ \mbox{column, for } i \ \mbox{and } j \in \{1,...,N\} \ \mbox{and for } b=1,...,B: \end{array}$

$$C_{ij}^{b} = \frac{\sigma_i \sigma_j}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(F_i^{\prime(k)b} - h(E_i))(F_j^{\prime(k)b} - h(E_j))}{\sqrt{\widehat{V}_i^{\prime}} \sqrt{\widehat{V}_j^{\prime}}} \quad \text{and} \ C_{ii}^{b} = \sigma_i^2$$

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\|\widehat{\Sigma}_{F} - \Sigma_{F}\|) \approx \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \|\widehat{\widehat{\Sigma}_{F}}^{b} - \widehat{\Sigma}_{F}\|$$

$$\operatorname{KL}(\widehat{\Sigma_F}, \Sigma_F) \approx \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \operatorname{KL}(\widehat{\widehat{\Sigma_F}}^b, \widehat{\Sigma_F})$$

Estimation of $I\!\!E(\|\widehat{\Sigma_F} - \Sigma_F\|_{fro})$ and $KL(\widehat{\Sigma_F}, \Sigma_F)$

 2^{nd} case: with r = 0, $\widehat{\Sigma_F}$ non invertible 2 simultaneous parametric bootstraps:

 $\begin{array}{l} (F'^{(1)},...,F'^{(n)})_1,...,(F'^{(1)},...,F'^{(n)})_B \text{ where } F'^{(i)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(H,\widehat{\Sigma_F}) \text{ et} \\ (S'^{(1)},...,S'^{(r)})_1,...,(S'^{(1)},...,S'^{(r)})_B \text{ where } S'^{(i)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(H,\operatorname{diag}(\widehat{\Sigma_F})) \\ \widehat{\widehat{\Sigma_F}}^b \text{ term at the } i^{\text{th line and } j^{\text{th column, for } i \text{ and } j \in \{1,...,N\} \text{ and for } b = 1,...,B: \end{array}$

$$C_{ij}^{b} = \frac{\sigma_{i}\sigma_{j}}{n+r} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(F_{i}^{\prime(k)b} - h(E_{i}))(F_{j}^{\prime(k)b} - h(E_{j}))}{\sqrt{\widehat{V}_{i}^{\prime}}\sqrt{\widehat{V}_{j}^{\prime}}} + \frac{\sigma_{i}\sigma_{j}}{n+r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{(S_{i}^{\prime(k)b} - h(E_{i}))(S_{j}^{\prime(k)b} - h(E_{j}))}{\sqrt{\widehat{V}_{i}^{\prime}}\sqrt{\widehat{V}_{j}^{\prime}}}$$

and $C_{ii}^b = \sigma_i^2$

$$\boldsymbol{E}(\|\widehat{\Sigma_F} - \Sigma_F\|) \approx \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \|\widehat{\widehat{\Sigma_F}}^b - \widehat{\Sigma_F}\|$$

$$\mathrm{KL}(\widehat{\Sigma_F}, \Sigma_F) \approx \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \mathrm{KL}(\widehat{\widehat{\Sigma_F}}^b, \widehat{\Sigma_F})$$

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

Bootstrap on the 'Toy Model'

$$N = 5, n = 1, B = 30, ||\Sigma_F|| = 15.6680, r = 1,$$

2

★ E ► ★ E ►

Bootstrap on the 'Toy Model'

$$N = 5, n = 1, B = 30, ||\Sigma_F|| = 15.6680, r = 1,$$

WONDER-2012 23 / 29

2

${}^{55}_{25}Mn$: (n,2n) cross section [MTN11]

WONDER-2012 24 / 29

${}^{55}_{25}Mn$: total cross section

$$\mathbf{E}(\|\widehat{\Sigma_F} - \Sigma_F\|) \approx 2.385 \qquad \mathbf{E}(KL(\widehat{\Sigma_F})) \approx 386.36$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(\|\widehat{\Sigma_F} - \Sigma_F\|) \approx 4.3 \, 10^{-5} \qquad \operatorname{Var}(KL(\widehat{\Sigma_F})) \approx 6.19$$

Outline

1 Notations

2 Experimental covariances estimation: new method

3 Validation

4 Quality measure of the obtained estimation

5 Conclusion

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

Conclusion

Conclusion

Σ_F estimation

Classical approaches

- one measurement per energy
- ✓ experimental informations rarely available
- quite unrealistic assumptions
- Our approach:
 - only measurements are needed
 - quality measure of the estimation

Estimator quality

Numerical validation

Application

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

Conclusion

 Σ_F estimation

Estimator quality

- Estimation of $\|\widehat{\Sigma}_F \Sigma_F\|$ with Bootstrap
- Estimation of $KL(\widehat{\Sigma}_F)$ with Bootstrap

Numerical validation

Application

Conclusion	
Conclusion	
Σ_F estimation	
	1
Estimator quality	
	1
Numerical validation	
Toy model	ļ
Application	

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

WONDER-2012 27 / 29

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Conclusion	
Conclusion	
Σ_F estimation	
	J
Estimator quality)
Numerical validation	
Application	h
• Application to ⁵⁵ ₂₅ Mn	J

S. Varet (CEA-DAM-DIF, ENS Cachan)

WONDER-2012 27 / 29

2

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Future work

New approach

Optimisation: shrinkage approach (in progress)

Other approaches

Kriging: cf poster session

A (10) A (10) A (10)

References

- Experimental Nuclear Reaction Data EXFOR, http://www.oecd-nea.org/dbdata/x4/.

M. lonescu-Bujor and D. G. Cacuci, A comparative review of sensitivity and uncertainty analysis of large-scale systems -i: Deterministic methods, Nuclear Science and Engineering **147** (2004), 189–203.

Grégoire Kessedjian, *Mesures de sections efficaces d'actinides mineurs d'intérêt pour la transmutation*, Ph.D. thesis, Bordeaux 1, 2008.

E. Levina, A. Rothman, and J. Zhu, *Sparse estimation of large covariance matrices via a nested lasso penalty*', The Annals of Applied Statistics **2** (2008), no. 1, 245–263.

A. Milocco, A. Trkov, and R. Capote Noy, *Nuclear data evaluation of 55 mn by the empire code with emphasis on the capture cross-section*, Nuclear Engineering and Design **241** (2011), no. 4, 1071–1077.

A.J. Smola and B. Schölkopf, *A tutorial on support vector regression*, Statistics and Computing **14** (2004), 199–222.

S. Varet, P. Dossantos-Uzarralde, N. Vayatis, and E. Bauge, *Experimental covariances* contribution to cross-section uncertainty determination, NCSC2 Vienna, 2011.

V. Vapnik, S. Golowich, and A. Smola, *Support vector method for function approximation, regression estimation, and signal processing*, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems **9** (1997), 281–287.