

C H A P T E R I

THE FUEL CYCLE OPTIONS AND THE RADIOLOGICAL SOURCE TERMS

INTRODUCTION - SCOPE

The purpose and scope of this document is to survey the availability and quality of data on which to base a systems study of partitioning and transmutation (P&T) as an alternative waste management option.

The global approach on nuclear power development will **underly** this assessment study and emphasise the merits and limitations of the P&T option on the world wide environmental and radiological impact of the nuclear electricity production.

The concept of partitioning goes far back into the history of nuclear development and as early as the mid-sixties important **R&D** programmed were launched to investigate the separation technology of long lived fission products (^{137}Cs , ^{90}Sr) from High Level Liquid Waste (**HLLW**). Soon it was recognised that any global improvement of the HLW impact required **an** integrated approach incorporating the minor actinides in order to be relevant for the long term.

In the early seventies however, the permanent presence of very toxic **radionuclides** for thousands of years in geologic repositories was deemed a risk, which ought to be avoided. Alternative scenarios comprising the separation of minor actinides from HLLW and transmutation of these **nuclides** in LWR, FBR and HTGR received considerable attention until it was recognised that geological disposal of **radionuclides** cannot be avoided and that all P&T scenarios end up with an actinide concentrate or residue which has to be stored and eventually disposed of.

Extensive information on all aspects of P&T was published in the proceedings of the first and second technical meeting on the Nuclear Transmutation of Actinides held in 1977 and 1980. [1] [2].

These conferences were followed by a period of extensive assessment of data which were published by JRC in 1983 and 1984 [3] [4].

A parallel investigation programme was undertaken in the US and led to the conclusion that the radiological impact of long-lived fission products (^{129}I , ^{99}Tc) on the biosphere is equally or even more important than that of the minor actinides.

A particular effort was undertaken by the "Conseil Supérieure de la Sûreté Nucléaire" in France which published the well known CASTAING report in 1982 [6]. These matters were very recently re-examined by a group of experts of the French CEA [7] and the P&T issue received a new political backing.

In 1988 the Japanese Government launched a very ambitious long term programme, called OMEGA, which covers the following items [8] [9] [10] :

recovery and possible utilisation of fission products, minor actinides and noble metals in the framework of an advanced reprocessing option;

transmutation of long lived actinides and fission products by LWR, FBR and Accelerators.

NUCLEAR FUEL CYCLE OPTIONS

presently there are two main options in the back end of the fuel cycle :
 the once through cycle;
 the conventional reprocessing cycle with U-PU recycling.

The advanced reprocessing cycle with additional P & T operations on waste from conventional reprocessing requires additional facilities which have to be attached to the existing reprocessing plants.

By reviewing the Nuclear Power **Plant** programme (1990) a subdivision can be made as follows :

	GWe	%
- OECD Countries committed to Reprocessing and associated partners (FR, UK, JAP + clients)	134,4	= 40
- NON OECD Countries principally committed to reprocessing (USSR + Associates)	47,-	14
- OECD Countries committed to direct disposal (USA, SP, SW, CAN)	137,3	= 41
- Countries outside OECD	18,4	= 5
	<u>337,-</u>	<u>100</u>

Table I gives in more detail the respective NPP programmed per country and the expected evolution of their reactor parks. As a whole we may subdivide the world into two almost equal blocks of 54 and 46% adhering to a different fuel cycle option.

Beyond the year 2000 only estimations can be made based on electricity growth scenarios. A recent OECD evaluation for a **low** growth scenario led to the following data (**GWe**) :

	2010	2020	2030
OECD North America	148	174	196
OECD Europe + Japan	229	284	348

The other countries do not markedly influence the projections.

Advanced reprocessing is only conceivable in those countries where a reprocessing activity already exists. The USA occupies a dual position as a non reprocessing country with a potential capacity and know how in reprocessing facilities.

A second factor which must be considered is the reprocessing capacity and the access to sensitive nuclear technology.

Table II gives an overview of the existing or planned reprocessing facilities for civilian purposes.

TABLE I I

Nominal reprocessing capacities in **THM/year** of existing plants and of units under construction

	Existing Nominal capacity	Capacity Under construction
La Hague	1200	1 6 0 0
Marcoule (GG)	600	
Sellafield - (MAGNOX) - (THORP)	1200	- 1200
Tokai	200	200
Rokkashomura		800
SAVANNAH RIVER	p. m.	

A number of countries outside **OECD**, except USSR and CHINA will have very difficult access to sensitive fuel cycle technology and will as a consequence be forced to take the non reprocessing option or to sign reprocessing agreements.

Unless the military facilities would be reconverted to civilian reprocessing or to advanced reprocessing facilities there will be no major change in the general picture on the reprocessing issue before the year 2000.

In order to link the nuclear electricity production to the amount of spent fuel to be stored or to be reprocessed the NPP electricity output has to be multiplied by the discharged fuel mass. 1 GWe capacity at a 0.75 load factor and at a burnup of 33,000 **Mwd/T** would discharge about 27 THM. With increasing burnup the spent fuel out-put will be reduced to about 23 **THM/GWe** year at 40,000 **Mwd/T**. The tendency being to increase burnup in order to reduce fuel costs, it is reasonable for the future to take a mean value of 25 **THM/GWe**.

By multiplying the data of Table I with a range of values for spent fuel one obtains the total amount of spent fuel discharged yearly. This quantity has to be compared to the reprocessing capacity existing at the present time and the capacity which would be required to treat the total inventory.

TABLE I

Overview of the Nuclear Power Plant programmed and subdivided according to their fuel cycle options.
[present and future evolution]

Countries committed to REPROCESSING <u>OECD countries</u>			
	<u>Operational</u>	<u>In Construction</u>	<u>Planned</u>
FRANCE	54.746	12.762	7.448
U. K.	15.102	1.258	3.750
JAPAN	29.445	12.546	14.484
GERMANY FR	23.927	327	-
OR	1.835	2.640	(1.760)
BELGIUM	5.749	-	
SWITZERLAND	3.065	1.214	
NETHERLANDS	539		
SUBTOTAL	134.408	30.747	27.442

<u>EAST EUROPEAN COUNTRIES.</u>			
ex USSR	36.636	25.000	34.980
BULGARIA	2.760	3.000	2.000
CZECHOSLOVAKIA	3.434	5.784	6.084
FINLAND	2.400	1.000	
HUNGARY	1.760	5.000	-
POLAND		1.860	-
ROMANIA		3*395	-
SUBTOTAL	46.990	45.039	43.064

Countries not Committed to Reprocessing <u>OECD Countries</u>			
USA	106.773	4.610	-
SPAIN	7.852	-	-
SWEDEN	10.130	-	-
CANADA	12.603	3.740	-
SUBTOTAL	137.358	8.350	-

<u>OTHER Countries</u>			
SUBTOTAL	18.401	9.890	25.157

Table III shows the data for the main group of countries involved in nuclear power.

TABLE III

Spent fuel arisings per year compared to existing reprocessing capacity and required increment to process all spent fuel (in **THM/y**)

Power capacity GWe	Spent fuel * arisings in	Reprocessing capacity	Needed increment of Reprocessing capacity
OECD-REPRO 134	3.080 - 3.620	3.800	
non OECD-REPRO 47	- 1.270	(1.000)**	270
OECD NON REPRO 137	3.150 - 3.700		3.150 - 3.700
Others NON REPRO 18	414 - 486		414 - 486
Totals	7.724 9.076	4.800	3.564 - 4.456

* Minimum 23 **T/GWe** - Maximum 27 **T/GWe**

** Planned capacity in the USSR

In order to support the advanced reprocessing option the present reprocessing plants operating or in construction should be adapted to include the partitioning flowsheets for minor actinides and long lived fission products. In the countries not committed to civilian reprocessing an additional processing capacity of 3500 to 4500 **THM/year** has to be constructed.

Since only a limited reprocessing capacity has been operating in the past decades within the OECD countries, a spent fuel back log of 84.000 THM has piled up and this inventory is expected to grow till 200.000 THM in the year 2000.

Potentially the advanced reprocessing option might be taken by the OECD reprocessing minded countries as their annual processing capacity will approach the spent fuel arisings per year. This option will undoubtedly decrease the radiological impact of the national or regional waste repositories.

From global point of view such a decision would not reduce worldwide the radiological impact significantly since only 53% of the total spent fuel arisings are involved.

A number of countries representing at present only about 5% of the total spent fuel output, will in any case not be able to make an alternative choice to direct disposal.

A further glance on table I shows, that, if all in-construction and planned facilities are realized, a total world capacity of 525 GWe would become available. The reprocessing minded countries make up a total 62% and the non-reprocessing option is then reduced to 38% among which 10% result from developing nations without access to reprocessing technology.

If advanced reprocessing is implemented in an ideal fashion on the **majour** portion of the spent fuel a maximum reduction with a factor of 20 may be expected on the radiological¹ impact of the very long lived **radionuclides** (²³⁷Np, ¹²⁹I . ..).

This global limitation has to be kept in mind when defining technical goals of advanced reprocessing for certain **radionuclides**.

As a conclusion we might say that in the most optimistic case between 90 and 95% of the spent fuel **arisings** might be reprocessed.

The maximum hypothesis for advanced reprocessing is to consider that all spent fuel resulting from nuclear power plants by the year 2030 will be reprocessed and recycled through Fast Reactors. This is a pure working hypothesis which will be used for estimating the future needs of P & T facilities.

RADIOLOGICAL AND CHEMICAL SOURCE TERM OF SPENT FUEL AND HLW

The conventional source term of **radionuclides** is based generally on the **ORIGEN** (2) and **KORIGEN** calculated compositions of spent fuel [11],[12]. The data are given for **PWR-UO₂** spent fuel in table IV and for **PWR-MOX spent fuel** in table V in each case for a standard **burnup** of 33.000 Mwd/T, 5 years after discharge from the reactor.

For reasons which will be explained below, only half lives exceeding about 30 years (e.g. ⁹⁰Sr and ¹³⁷Cs) have been taken into consideration except for ²⁴¹Pu because of its direct relation with ²⁴¹Am which is of major importance for further discussion in this report.

The data for HLW compositions have been considered identical to those of spent fuel except for U and Pu isotopes which are supposed to be extracted for 99 % (a mean value between 100 and 98 %). Iodine 129, which is **separated** during reprocessing and transferred to **MLW** and/or discharged into the sea, is taken into consideration in this study because of its radiological importance associated with its very long half life and its biological **concentration**.

Data for higher burnups (up to 50.000 Mwd/T) have not been extensively documented since there is a good proportionality between burnup and fission product content on the one hand and only slight modifications in the **actinide** concentration-distribution.

The radioactive source term can be derived from the activity in **Ci/THM** (Tables IV and V) multiplied by the yearly spent fuel output (Table III) from the nuclear electricity programmed.

In the countries with the non-reprocessing option every THM charged into the reactor, leaves it as a waste material (3560 - 4450 **THM/y**), U and Pu included. The reprocessing option produces worldwide a segregated U stream of 4160 to 4890 **THM/y** and a Pu source term of 38.6 - 45.4 T per year which are in principle intended to be reused. An annual residual amount of 48 T U and 0.45 T Pu together with the fission products is declared waste. (**HLW**) Among the major **actinides** (U and Pu) a factor of 100 exists between the reprocessing and the non-reprocessing option. However the total environmental impact of the fuel cycle with reprocessing is not 100 times smaller since the separated U has to be stored as UF₆, UF₄ or U₃O₈ and as the Pu reuse is limited to one or two recycling in **LWR's** no overall Pu reduction is observed between the charged and the discharged fuel.

The radiotoxicity of the spent MOX fuel is even slightly higher than that of the initial MOX load because of the higher residual Pu content and an increased MA concentration. The overall Pu balance in MOX fuel led reactors results from a Pu generation in the UO₂ fuel elements and a depletion in the MOX fuel elements.

But even taking into account these **inherent limitations** of LWR recycling, the overall environmental impact reduction is significant for the reprocessing option.

However, in the medium term, a solution must be found for the spent MOX fuel elements or for the stored Pu.

TABLE IV

Actinides and Long Lived Radionuclides composition of PWR - UO₂ spent fuel.
and its HLW equivalent at 33.000 Mwd/T after 5 years cooling.

ACTINIDES				HLW FRACTION	FISSION PRODUCTS				HLW FRACTION
NUCLIDE	t1/2(y)	Ci/THM	g/THM		NUCLIDE	t1/2(y)	Ci/THM	g/THM	
U 232	70	3.27 10 ⁻²	-	1% to HLW	Sr 90	28.5	6.63 10 ⁴	485	100 % to HLW
U 234	2.44 10 ⁵	0.94	150		Y 90	equil 6	6.63 10 ⁴	0.126	
U 235	7. 10 ⁷	1.79 10 ⁻²	8250		Zr 93	1.5 10 ⁵	1.79	736	
U 236	2.34 10 ⁹	0.262	4050		Tc 99	2.1 10 ⁶	13.2	841	
U 238	4047 10 ⁶	0.317	943000		Pd 107	6.5 10	0.102	231	
Np 237	2.14 10	0.308	437		Sn 121	50 ₃	0.146	4.5	
Pu 238	87.7	2.39 10 ³	140		Sn 126	10 ³	0.542	19.2	
Pu 239	24110	340	5470		I 129	1.57 10 ⁷	3.12 10 ⁻²	229	
Pu 240	6550	509	2230		Cs 135	2 10 ⁶	0.352	324	
Pu 241	14.4	9.85 10 ⁴	956		Cs 137	30.1	9.5 10 ⁴	1100	
Pu 242	3.7 10 ⁵	1.86	486	Ba 137	equil.	9.4 10 ⁴	178		
Am 241	432.6	1002	296	Sm 151	93	324	44.2	100 % to HLW	
Am 242	142	3.8	0039	L I G H T E L E M E N T S					
Am 243	7370	16.7	83.8	c 14	5730	0.143	0.0315		
Cm 243	28.5	14.8	-	Ni 59	7.5 10 ⁴	17.5	216.6		
Cm 245	8500	0.138	0.804	Ni 63	100	24.10 ³	24.28		
Cm 246	4730	0.225	0.731						

TABLE V

Actinides and Long Lived Radionuclides composition of PWR - MOX spent fuel.
at 33.000 Mwd/T after 5 years cooling.

ACTINIDES					FISSION PRODUCTS				
NUCLIDE	t1/2(y)	Ci/THM	g/THM	HLW FRACTION	NUCLIDE	t1/2(y)	Ci/THM	g/THM	HLW FRACTION
U 232	70	9.4110 ⁻³	1.39 10 ⁻⁴	1% to HLW	Sr 90	28.5	3.14 10 ⁴	230.3	100% to HLW
U 234	2.44 10 ⁵	0.321	51.44		Y 90	equil.	3.14 10 ⁴	0.057	
U 235	7. 10 ⁸	2.36 10 ⁻³	1093		Zr 93	1.5 10 ⁶	1.24	494.4	
U 236	2.34 10 ⁷	1.4 10 ⁻²	216.9		Tc 99	2.1 10 ⁵	13	766	
U 238	4.47 10 ⁹	0.311	925900		Pd 107	6.5 10 ⁶	0.266	517.6	
Np 237	2.14 10 ⁶	9.02 10 ⁻²	128.3		Sn 121	50 ₅	00341	5.76 10 ⁻³	
Pu 238	87.7	1.64 10 ⁴	960.6		Sn 126	10	1.04	36.6	
Pu 239	24110	863.7	13890		I 129	1.57 10 ⁷	0.0413	234	
Pu 240	6550	2770	12150		Cs 135	2 10 ⁶	0.667	579.3	
Pu 241	14.4	5.33 10 ⁵	5177		Cs 137	30.1	9.3 10 ⁴	1069	
Pu 242	3.7 10 ⁵	13.32	3487	Ba 137	equil.	8.8 10 ⁴	170.5		
Am 241	432.6	6705	1953	Sm 151	93	765	20		
Am 242	142	158.1	16.34	100% to HLW	L GHT E L E M E N T S				
Am 243	7370	214.1	1074		c ¹⁴	5730	1.13 10 ⁻⁴	2.55 10 ⁻⁵	
Cm 243	28.5	313.7	6.07		Ni 59	-			
Cm 245	8500	5.27	30.71		Ni 63	-			
Cm 246	4730	0.82	2.66						

This is the case in an advanced fuel cycle scheme with Fast Reactors, where the effect of the U and Pu reuse can further be optimised and could approach a factor of 100 in radiological impact between the once through cycle and the reprocessing option if a closed cycle of U and Pu is realised. However presently we are very far from a worldwide industrial closure of the fuel cycle and the P & T option of minor **actinides** and long lived fission products has to be assessed as a working hypothesis.

Table VI shows the actinide concentration in HLW as a function of long term cooling time. It is obvious that decay does not improve the situation very much after 1,000 years which is the protective barrier life time in a geological repository structure as defined by the NRC in 10 CFR 60.

Important decay is observed for Pu 238 and Pu 241 while the minor actinides remain roughly at the same level or do show an increase as is the case for Np 237.

Table VII shows similar data for fission products. It is striking that only a few fission products influence the long term radiological impact **a.o.** Zr 93, Tc 99, Sn 126, I 129 and **Cs** 135.

The major fission products Sr 90 and **Cs** 137 play only a role during the first 500 years which is within the lifetime of the engineered barriers. Some useful applications of Cs 137 as substitute for Co 60 might also be considered in the technico-economic evaluation of P & T.

The fission product fraction contains a non **negligeable** noble metals content which is from resource preservation point of view an incentive for the advanced reprocessing option with an additional P & T step.

The source terms resulting from the three different fuel cycle options can be summarised as follows (quantities per THM) :

TYPE OF NUCLIDES	NON-REPROCESSING (SPENT FUEL)	CONVENTIONAL REPROCESSING (HLW)	ADVANCED REPROCESSING (P&T)
Major actinides	955kg U+9.3kgPu	10kg U + 93gPu	10kg U+9.3gPu
Minor actinides	0.081 kg	0.81 kg	0.081-0.0081kg
Fission products	35 kg	35 kg	30kg + 5 kg reusable

TABLE VI

Long term decay of actinides resulting from PWR-UO₂ irradiated
at 33.000 MWd/T 1% U+Pu and 100% Minor Actinides in HLW.

HLW from Reprocessing 7 years after discharge

Decay time " (y)	g/THM				Ci/THM			
	50 Y	100 Y	1000 Y	10.000 Y	50 Y	100 Y	1000 Y	10.000 Y
234	1.92	2.26	3.17	3.11	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.41 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.98 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.95 \cdot 10^{-2}$
U 235	82.6	82.7	84.2	103.0	$1.79 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.79 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.82 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.23 \cdot 10^{-2}$
U 236	40.6	40.8	44.4	66.4	$2.63 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.64 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.87 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$
U 238	9430	9430	9430	9430	$3.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.17 \cdot 10^{-3}$
Np 237	463	491	744	820	0.327	0.346	0.524	0.578
Pu 238	1.03	0.735	$5.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-	17.6	12.6	$8.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$	-
Pu 239	5501	55.5	60.7	83.9	3.43	3.45	3.77	5.12
Pu 240	36.8	39.5	36.4	14	8.38	9.03	8.3	3.2
Pu 241	1.1	0.1	$1.24 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$5.94 \cdot 10^{-4}$	113	10.3	0.128	$6.12 \cdot 10^{-2}$
Pu 242	4.88	4.89	5.01	5.38	$1.86 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.87 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.92 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.06 \cdot 10^{-2}$
Am 241	364	337	79.7	$1.79 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1250	1160	273	$6.14 \cdot 10^{-2}$
Am 242 m	0.317	0.253	$4.18 \cdot 10^{-3}$	-	3.09	2.46	$4.06 \cdot 10^{-2}$	-
Am 243	83.5	83.1	76.3	32.8	16.6	16.6	15.2	6.54
Cm 243	$9.61 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$2.85 \cdot 10^{-2}$	-	-	4.96	1.47	-	-
Cm 245	0.80	0.798	0.741	0.356	0.138	0.137	0.127	0.061
Cm 246	0.726	0.721	0.632	0.169	0.223	0.222	0.194	0.052

TABLE VII
Long term decay of fission products and noble metals inventory of spent fuel
from PWR-UO₂ at 33.000 MWd/T (100 % to HLW).

NUCLIDE	FISSION PRODUCTS				STABLE NUCLIDE	g/THM
	Ci /THM					
	5oy	10oy	1000y	10000y		
Sr 90	2.27 10 ⁴	6920	-	-	Zr 90	485
Y 90	2.27 10 ⁴	6920	-	-	Zr 90	0.126
Zr 93	1.79	1.79	1.79	1.79	Nb 93	736
Tc 99	13.2	13.2	13.1	12.8	Ru 99	841
Sn 107	7.8 10 ⁻²	3*91 10 ⁻²	-	-	Sb 121	4.5
Sn 126	0.542	0.542	0.538	0.506	Te 126	19.2
I 129	3.12 10 ⁻²	3.12 10 ⁻²	3.12 10 ⁻²	3.12 10 ⁻²	Xe 129	229
Cs 135	0.352	0.352	0.352	0.351	Ba 135	324
Cs 137	3.36 10 ⁴	1.06 10 ⁴	-	-	Ba 137	1100
Ba 137	3.18 10 ²	1.0 10 ²	-	-	Ba 137	178
Sm 151	2.29 10 ²	1.56 10 ²	-	-	Eu 151	44.2

0.1% to HLW, 99.9 to MLW

NOBLE METALS

Ru element	-				Ru	2106
Rh element	-				Rh	4.14
Pd element	0.102	0.102	0*102	0.102	Pd	1258
Pd 107					Ag107	(231)

Ru 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106
Rh 102, 103, 106
Pd 104, 105, 106, 108, 110
(included in 1258 g/T Pd)

CUT-OFF HALF LIFE FOR P & T OPERATIONS.

The cut-off half life is the time interval below which it has no sense to carry out transmutation in order to produce **nuclides** with shorter half lives.

In order to set realistic objectives to minor **actinides** and long lived fission products P & T operations, we must investigate whether and to what extent, any supplementary treatment is counter-balanced by **real** radiological- and waste management benefits. Some economically exploitable resources e.g. Cs 137 as a radiation source in food irradiation technology and **Ru-Rh-Pd** concentrates as additional noble metals source could be of some help in improving the economic viability of the P & T option.

A P & T process must ultimately aim at a realistic half life reduction from thousands of years to some decades. The lower limit of this cut off is a trade-off between treatment cost and radiological benefit. Any P & T operation which aims at reducing the half-lives of the separated **nuclides** below 25 to 30 years runs up against the massive presence of Sr 90 and **Cs** 137 in HLLW.

Partitioning of **Cs** 137 is technically possible, though much more difficult for Sr90, but transmutation of these **nuclides** seems according to the present state of the art very speculative or expensive.

The present practice of prolonged intermediate storage of spent fuel before reprocessing also contributes to the elimination of short lived **nuclides**.

The tendency to increase the fuel burnup will complicate conventional reprocessing by producing more secondary waste and increase the collective dose to operating personnel. These negative elements can also be neutralised by prolonged cooling before reprocessing.

However this cooling time cannot be lengthened indefinitely without jeopardizing the enormous investments done in the large reprocessing plants.

In the course of this study a cut-off period of 30 years **will** be used in the assessment of the P & T influence on the management of waste streams from fuel cycles.

RADIOLOGICAL HAZARD RANKING OF MINOR ACTINIDES AND LONG LIVED FISSION PRODUCTS.

The general strategy of introducing P & T as an alternative waste management option is based on the radiological benefit which is expected from such a venture. The selection of the **actinides** and long lived fission products which are beneficial to eliminate by transmutation can be made on the comparison of their hazard factors.

The notion of hazard criterion was introduced by CLAIRBORNE in 1975 [14] and relies on a very simple concept i.e. the volume of drinking water required to dilute a mixture of **radionuclides** to the drinking water limits. These data are isotope specific and actualised according to the present drinking water limits.

The drinking water and groundwater limits incorporated in the present legislative documents [15] are based on the ICRP guidelines of 1980. But recently ICRP issued a new set of guidelines for **radionuclides** in publication 61 [17]. These data are generally more stringent for Actinides except Np 237 whose ALI has been increased by a factor of 10. With a few exceptions the same trend is found for the long lived fission products whose ALI's have been generally decreased with a factor of 3.

The drinking water limits and associated hazard factors are given in Table VIII for **actinides** and in Table IX for long lived fission products.

The technical lifetime of an engineered barrier ranges from 200 to 1000 years. In order to select those **radionuclides** which are prime candidates for P & T we have to consider the hazard factors and the technical possibility to isolate the **radionuclide** from the others in order to prepare them for transmutation.

In terms of hazard factors the following listing can be made for **actinides** in HLW.

ACTINIDES HAZARD FACTOR RANKING IN HLW

	ICRP 30	1 /THM		ICRP 61	1 /THM
1	Am 241	2 -7 10 ¹³	1	Am 241	3.3-12.31013
2	Am 243	1.1 10 ¹²	2	Am 243	1.8 -2 10 ¹²
3	Np 237	4.7-6.41011	3 (1%)	pu 240	7.6-8 10 ¹¹
4 (1%)	Pu 240	1.5 10 ¹¹	4 (1%)	Pu 239	3.2-3.51011
5 (1%)	Pu 239	6.4-6.91010	5	Np 237	4.7-6.41010
6	Cm 246	1.4-1.61010	6	Cm 246	2.4-2.71010

The ranking list relies on a 100 % transfer of minor actinides from the fuel to the HLW and on 1 % of U and Pu in the residues. The first two radionuclides, Am 241 and Am 243 are by far the most important ones from radiologic point of view, whether the ICRP 30 or 61 values are taken into account.

A change of order appears at the 3rd to 5th positions. The recent tenfold increase of the Np 237 ALI value coupled to a decrease of the Pu 239-240 ALI's with a factor of 5 is at the origin of this shift in ranking. Even hypothesizing that the Pu decontamination from HLW can be reduced in the future e.g. with a factor 5 or 10, the new ranking will not be modified. However the previous discussion shows how variable radiotoxicological data are and how they depend on advances in radiobiological research and on its interpretation.

Neptunium which was considered a prime radiological hazard by ICRP 30 is only marginal compared to Am and Pu if ICRP 61 is taken into account. However in the very long run (hundred-thousands or million years) Neptunium 237 is still a very important hazardous actinide.

The possibility to isolate a nuclide from HLW depends on the nature of the nuclide involved and the mass of chemically similar elements which behave in the same way as the nuclide to be separated e.g. the rare earths. It is obvious that any separation procedure of an actinide must be preceded by chemical reprocessing which is the indispensable first step in any P & T operation.

If P & T is performed on HLLW the possibility exists to reduce the radiological impact of the subsequently vitrified waste by a significant factor. The ranking of the hazard factors of spent fuel in the 200 - 1000 year period is according to ICRP 30 and 61 as follows :

HAZARD FACTORS OF SPENT FUEL

nuclide		ICRP 30 1 /THM	nuclide		ICRP 60 1 /THM
1	Am 241	2 - 7 10^{13}	1	Am 241	3.33-11.6 10^{13}
2	pu 240	1.5 - 1.6 10^{13}	2	pu 240	7.5 - 8 10^{13}
3	pu 239	6.45-6.91 10^{12}	3	pu 239	3.2-3.45 10^{13}
4	Am 243	1.1-1.2 10^{12}	4	Am 243	1.8 - 2 10^{12}
5	Np 237	4.7-6.4 10^{11}	5	Pu 242	1.72 10^{11}
6	pu 242	1.3 10^{10}	6	Np 237	4.7 - 6.4 10^{10}
7	Cm 246	1.4-1.6 10^{10}	7	Cm 246	2.3 - 2.66 10^{10}

Americium 241 remains at the top with a hazard coefficient which is only slightly different from that of HLW. Between 1000 and 10.000 years Am 241 decays gradually to negligible levels and loses its predominant radiotoxic position to Pu 240-239.

By comparing the hazard ranking list of HLW and spent fuel it appears that conventional reprocessing does not decrease the radiotoxicity within the first 1000 years, but it is a necessary step to reduce this long term toxicity. The only alternative to P&T would be a pure transmutation scenario by which spent fuel would quantitatively be transformed into fission products or short lived actinides without a foregoing partitioning step. However such a scenario is very speculative. Advanced reprocessing with P&T incorporated in the process is from pure radiological point of view preferable to spent fuel storage and conventional reprocessing on the condition that the transmutation step results in a quantitative elimination of the separated long lived actinides. The long lived fission products are much less toxic than actinides on the basis of hazard indexes once Sr 90 and Cs 137 have decayed i.e. after about 600 y. In order of significance, the following radiotoxicity ranking can be derived from the data of Table IX in the 200 - 1000 y period on the basis of ICRP 61.

RANKING OF FISSION PRODUCTS

		Nuclide	1 /THM
	1	Sr 90	$3.91012 \cdot 10^{-2}$
	2	Cs 137	$3.81012 \cdot 10^{-3}$
(1)	3	Tc 99	$1.6 \cdot 10^9$
(2)	4	Sn 126	$6.6 \cdot 10^8$
(3)	5	I 129	$5.8 \cdot 10^8$
(4)	6	Cs 135	$1.3 \cdot 10^8$
(5)	7	Zr 93	$9.4 \cdot 10^7$

Abstraction made of Cs 137 and Sr 90 which decay within 600 years, I 129 and Tc 99 are very important **nuclides** because of their long half lives and mobility in the **geosphere**.

Iodine 129 deserves a special discussion in the context of ranking the **nuclides** because of its half life of $1.57 \cdot 10^7$ years and its chemical properties which do not lend this element to a stable matrix. The combination of both factors brings about that any confinement technology whatsoever

cannot guarantee a separation from the biosphere. Moreover iodine is a very mobile element in the geosphere and migrates much more rapidly than e.g. Pu, Am... Calculations in the frame work of the PACOMA project of the CEC [16] have shown that in a normal scenario the I 129 activity would reach the neighboring aquifers after about 5000 years. The **radiologic** influence of that long term **radionuclide** is such that it represents a dose contribution of 10 % of the natural background.

Tc 99 is a fission product with a half life of $2.1 \cdot 10^5$ years which is mobile in some strata e.g. tuff and contributes largely to the dose to man in oxidative aquifers. In reducing conditions (clay) it is not mobile.

During the reprocessing steps it behaves partly as a platinum type metal, partly as a higher oxide. Although the hazard ranking factor is 10^5 times lower than that of actinides its contribution to the dose to man is very important.

The other fission products Sn 126, Cs 135, Zr 93 are in decreasing order much less important in the radiological context.

EFFECT OF THE GEOLOGIC CONFINEMENT ON HAZARD RANKING

In the actually accepted fuel cycle options (spent fuel storage or vitrified HLW disposal) the geologic confinement within a multiple barrier system in a well chosen host rock, plays a dominant role in the assessment of the ultimate radiological safety of the nuclear fuel cycle.

The integrity of a suitable geologic disposal system depends on the **intrinsic** confinement of a host rock which is supposed to be very impermeable to water (e.g. clay, impermeable granite) or untouched by surface waters (e.g. salt layers or domes) during geological periods.

In these favorable cases, the confinement extends over hundred thousands to million of years and influences the hazard ranking of actinides.

After 5000 years, Am 241 loses its first ranking hazard factor to Am 243 and Np 237. However in the very long term, Np 237 is the critical **nuclide** because it has a half life (2.14 M years) which surpasses the geologic integrity interval of most geologic layers.

The ranking of the fission products is not altered by the role of a geological disposal option. Tc 99 and Iodine 129 with a half live of $2.1 \cdot 10^5$ and 15.7 M years respectively remains high on the list of the fission products to be examined in a P & T scenario.

TABLE VIII

PERMISSIBLE CONCENTRATIONS AND HAZARD FACTORS OF ACTINIDES IN HLW EXPRESSED PER THM.

Nuc l ide	Ground & Drinking water limits Bq/l (*)		HAZARD FACTORS 1/THM						Remark
	(1980) (ICRP 30)	(1990) (ICRP 61)	5 y	after 200 y	1000 y	5y	after 200 y	1000 y	
			accordi ng ICRP 30			accordi ng ICRP 61			
U 232	0.8	2	1.51 10 ⁷	2.08 10 ⁶	7.58	6. 10 ⁶	8.3 10 ⁵	3.03	} to be mul- tiple d by 10 ² for spent fuel
U 234	4	7	8.67 10 ⁷	1.55 10 ⁸	1.83 10 ⁸	4.9 10 ⁷	8.8 10 ⁷	1.04 10 ⁸	
U 235	5	7	1.32 10 ⁷	1.32 10 ⁶	1.32 10 ⁵	9.4 10 ⁵	9.4 10 ⁵	9.4 10 ⁴	
U 236	5	7	1.94 10 ⁷	1.97 10 ⁷	2.12 10 ⁷	1.38 10 ⁷	1.38 10 ⁷	1.51 10 ⁷	
U 238	5	8	2.34 10 ⁷	2.34 10 ⁷	2.34 10 ⁷	1.46 10 ⁷	1.46 10 ⁷	1.46 10 ⁷	
Np 237	0.03	0.3	3.7610 ¹¹	4.7 10 ¹¹	6.43 10 ¹¹	3.7610 ¹⁰	4.7 10 ¹⁰	6.4310 ¹⁰	} to be mul- tiple d by 10 ² for spent fuel
Pu 238	3	0.4	2.94 10 ¹¹	8.1 10 ¹⁰	1.06 10 ⁹	2.2 10 ¹²	6.07 10 ¹¹	7.95 10 ⁹	
Pu 239	2	0.4	6.3 10 ¹⁰	6.45 10 ¹⁰	6.9510 ¹⁰	3.15 10 ¹¹	3.2 10 ¹¹	3.47 10 ¹¹	
Pu 240	2	0.4	9.4 10 ¹⁰	1.6 10 ¹¹	1.53 10 ¹¹	4.7 10 ¹¹	8 10 ¹¹	7.65 10 ¹¹	
Pu 241	100	20	3.6410 ¹¹	8.1 10 ⁷	4.73 10 ⁸	1.8 10 ¹²	4 10 ⁸	1.89 10 ⁸	
Pu 242	3	0.4	2.3 10 ⁸	2.31 10 ⁸	2.36 10 ⁸	1.7 10 ⁹	1.7 10 ⁹	1.77 10 ⁹	
Am 241	0.5	0.3	7.4 10 ¹³	7.2 10 ¹³	2 10 ¹³	1.23 10 ¹⁴	1.2 10 ¹⁴	3.33 10 ¹³	
Am 242	0.5	0.4	2.8 10 ¹¹	1.15 10 ¹¹	3 10 ⁹	3.5 10 ¹¹	1.4310 ¹¹	3.75 10 ⁹	
Am 243	0.5	0.3	1.23 10 ¹²	1.2110 ¹²	1.12 10 ¹²	2. 10 ¹²	2 10 ¹²	1.86 10 ¹²	
Cm 243	0.7	0.5	7.8 10 ¹¹	6.81 10 ⁹	24.3	1.1 10 ¹²	9.5 10 ⁹	34.3	
Cm 245	0.5	0.3	1.0 10 ¹⁰	1.0 10 ¹⁰	9.4 10 ⁹	1.6610 ¹⁰	1.66 10 ¹⁰	1.5610 ¹⁰	
Cm 246	0.5	0.3	1.66 10 ¹⁰	1.6110 ¹⁰	1.4310 ¹⁰	2.76 10 ¹⁰	2.67 10 ¹⁰	2.3810 ¹⁰	

(*) Large population ALI x 10⁻⁴ ICRP 30 (1980) and ICRP 61 (1990)

TABLE IX

PERMISSIBLE CONCENTRATIONS AND HAZARD FACTORS OF LONG LIVED FISSION PRODUCTS IN HLW EXPRESSED PER THM

Nuclide	Ground & Drinking water limits (*) Bq/l		HAZARD FACTORS 1/THM					
	(1980) (ICRP 30)	(1990) (ICRP 61)	5 y	after 200 y	1000 y	5 y	after 200 y	1000 y
			according ICRP 30			according ICRP 61		
Sr 90	20	6	$1.22 \cdot 10^{14}$	1.18 10 ¹⁰	$6.4 \cdot 10^3$	$4.08 \cdot 10^{14}$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{12}$	$2.13 \cdot 10^4$
Y 90	20	50	$1.22 \cdot 10^{14}$	1.18 10 ¹⁰	$6.4 \cdot 10^3$	$4.88 \cdot 10^{13}$	$4.72 \cdot 10^{11}$	$2.56 \cdot 10^3$
Zr 93	500	700	$1.32 \cdot 10^8$	$1.32 \cdot 10^8$	$1.32 \cdot 10^8$	$9.4 \cdot 10^9$	$9.4 \cdot 10^9$	$9.4 \cdot 10^9$
Tc 99	1000	300	$4.88 \cdot 10^5$	$4.88 \cdot 10^5$	$4.88 \cdot 10^5$	$1.6 \cdot 10^9$	$1.6 \cdot 10^6$	$1.6 \cdot 10^9$
Pd 107	10	3000	$3.77 \cdot 10^5$	$3.77 \cdot 10^5$	$3.77 \cdot 10^5$	$1.26 \cdot 10^6$	$1.26 \cdot 10^6$	$1.26 \cdot 10^6$
Sn 121m	1000	300	$5.4 \cdot 10^8$	$3.6 \cdot 10^5$	5.5	1.8 10 ¹⁰	$1.2 \cdot 10^8$	18.3
Sn 126	100	30	$2.0 \cdot 10^8$	$2.0 \cdot 10^8$	$2.0 \cdot 10^8$	$6.6 \cdot 10^8$	$6.6 \cdot 10^8$	$6.6 \cdot 10^8$
I 129	2	2	$5.77 \cdot 10^7$	$5.77 \cdot 10^8$	$5.77 \cdot 10^8$	$5.77 \cdot 10^8$	$5.77 \cdot 10^8$	$5.77 \cdot 10^8$
Cs 135	300	100	$4.34 \cdot 10^{13}$	$4.34 \cdot 10^7$	$4.34 \cdot 10^7$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{14}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^8$	$1.3 \cdot 10^8$
Cs 137	40	10	$8.78 \cdot 10^{13}$	$9.71 \cdot 10^{11}$	$9.15 \cdot 10^3$	$3.5 \cdot 10^{14}$	$3.8 \cdot 10^{12}$	$3.66 \cdot 10^4$
8a 137								
Sm 151	$5 \cdot 10^3$	10^3	$5.9 \cdot 10^9$	$5.33 \cdot 10^8$	$1.12 \cdot 10^6$	$2.8 \cdot 10^{10}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^9$	$5.6 \cdot 10^6$

(*) Large population ALI x 10⁻⁴ according to ICRP 30 and 61.

References

- [1] Proceedings first Technical Meeting on the nuclear Transmutation of Actinides.
Ispra, Italy, March 16-18, 1977.
Report EUR. 5897 EF.
- [2] Proceedings Second Technical Meeting on the Nuclear Transmutation of Actinides.
Ispra, Italy, April 21-24, 1980.
Report EUR 6929 EN/FR.
- [3] Assessment Studies on Nuclear Transmutation of By-Product Actinides, Final Report.
E. Schmidt, E. Zamorani, W. Hage, S. Guardini.
Comm. Eur. Communities, JRC Ispra.
Report S. A. /I. 05.03.83.13.
- [4] Chemical Separation of Actinides from High Activity Liquid Wastes.
Comm. Eur. Communities, JRC Ispra.
Report S. A. /I. 07.03.84.02.
- [5] Actinide partitioning-Transmutation Program. Final Report.
A.G. Croff, J.O. Blomeke, et al.
ORNL 5566 (1980).
- [6] "Rapport du **Groupe de Travail** sur la Gestion des Combustibles Irradiés."
Conseil Supérieur de la Sûreté Nucléaire.
(Commission **CASTAING**)
Ministère de l'industrie DAC 1981 - Nov. 1982.
- [7] "Separation et Transmutation des **Actinides Mineures**".
Commission pour les questions Scientifiques et Techniques Relatives à la Gestion des **déchets radioactifs auprès** du **Conseil Scientifique** du CEA.
Mars 1990.

- [8] Long term Program for Research and Development on Nuclide Partitioning and Transmutation Technology
Japan Atomic Energy Commission.
Advisory Committee on Radioactive Waste Management 1988.
- [9] OECD/NEA Information Exchange Meeting on Separation and Transmutation of **Actinides** and Fission Products.
MITO, JAPAN
Proceedings.
- [10] Options making extra gains of actinides and fission products generated in Nuclear Fuel Cycle.
OECD/NEA. Cooperative Programme 1989.
- [11] A Users Manual for the ORIGEN 2 Computer Code
A.G. Croff.
- [12] Verbesserte konsistente **Berechnung des Nuklearen Inventars** abgebrannter DWR - Brennstoff auf der Basis von Zell-Abbrand-Verfahren mit **KORIGEN**.
V. FISCHER H.W. WIESE
Kfk 3014 (1983).
- [13] Feasibility of Separation and Utilisation of Ruthenium, Rhodium and Palladium from High Level Wastes.
IAEA - Technical Report Series nr 308 (1989)
- [14] Effect of Actinide Removal on the Long Term Hazard of High Level Waste
H.C. CLAIBORNE
ORNL - TM - 4724 (1975)
- [15] **Moniteur** Beige - **Belgisch Staatsblad** 157e ed. n° 50, 12 March 1987, pages 3809-3863.
- [16] Performance Assessment of the geological **disposal** of medium level and alpha waste in a clay formation in Belgium.
J. Marivoet and T. Zeevaert.
EUR 13042 (1991)
- [17] Annual limits of intake of **radionuclides** by workers based on 1990 recommendations. ICRP publication 61. **Annuals of the ICRP Vol. 21, n° 4 (1991).**