

**PROGRESS IN ACCELERATOR DRIVEN
TRANSMUTATION TECHNOLOGIES**

**J.W. Toevs, C.D. Bowman, E.D. Arthur,
E.A. Heighway
(*Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA*)**

Abstract

*For several years Los Alamos personnel have been developing applications of accelerator-driven **spallation** neutrons. **These** include the Accelerator Transmutation of Waste (**ATW**) and Accelerator Based Conversion of Plutonium (**ABC**) as well as the generation of **electric** power using **Thorium** as a fuel. In these **applications**, the **spallation** neutrons **drive** a **subcritical** blanket assembly containing **actinides** and **fission** products to be transmuted. This paper is a report on the status of this work, and will describe political and technical motivation for the technology choices as well as high current accelerator, **spallation** target, and **blanket development**. The talk will include a description of the approach for separation chemistry and the nature of the final waste stream.*

Introduction

The concept of using neutrons from accelerators to transmute nuclear waste is several decades old. However, the advances in accelerator and separations technology that could bring **this** concept into reality have occurred only recently. **In** particular, the Los Alamos Neutral Particle Beam Program under the Strategic Defense Initiative has developed low energy components for proton linear accelerators that make feasible **medium** energy (**800 -1600** MeV) proton beams with currents of **200 mA** and higher. Furthermore, experiment has shown that at 1000 MeV, each proton striking a high-Z target can produce **20** or more neutrons through **spallations** processes. A **200 mA** beam, therefore, could produce 2.4×10^{19} neutrons/second, or **more** than 3 moles of neutrons/day. This **fluence** could be used, for example, to drive a subcritical blanket containing **fissile** material to a power level of over 6 GW (thermal) with a neutron multiplication of only 20. This multiplication **corresponds** to a **k_{eff}** of only 0.95, equal to the US standard for the storage of spent **reactor** fuel.

Clearly, numerous applications are possible for Accelerator Driven Transmutation Technologies, which is the program name for this effort at Los **Alamos**. This paper will focus on three: plutonium destruction, high level waste transmutation, and, with thorium fuel, electric power production through the next millennium. The **first** two applications are referred to as Accelerator Based Conversion (**ABC**) and Accelerator Transmutation of waste.

Plutonium Destruction

With the end of the Cold War has come growing interest in methods for dealing with weapons plutonium (**W-Pu**) in the US as well as in the former Soviet Union (**FSU**).

Terms like non-proliferation and proliferation resistance **are** used frequently. Near term effort must focus on issues such as dismantling weapons, securing the plutonium, and near term storage. In the long term, some appropriate method for ultimate disposition must be found. The fact that different countries view their W-PU differently adds political confusion. For example, at this time the US tends to view **surplus** W-PU as a troublesome waste, while Russia views her surplus W-PU as a national treasure.

However, W-PU represents only the tip of the iceberg. DOE Secretary O'Leary announced publicly that the US stockpile contains some 102 metric tons of Pu. If we assume that the FSU has a similar quantity, this total is still small compared to the plutonium in spent reactor fuel (SF), which is estimated globally to be 930 tons as of December, 1993, and is growing by 50-75 tons annually. Furthermore, it is known that, unlike uranium, plutonium in any isotopic mixture has a fairly small critical mass and can be used to make at least a rudimentary nuclear explosive. Plutonium that is **useful in** a weapon can therefore be obtained from reactor SF with only chemical separation, whose processes are widely known and **straightforward**. Uranium, because the isotope U-238 is not **fissile**, can be "denatured" so that isotopic separation and enrichment is required in order to obtain fuel for a nuclear explosive. Therefore, uranium can be easily put into a proliferation resistant form.

Given the relative ease with which plutonium can be obtained from spent fuel, effort should focus on rendering **all** plutonium proliferation resistant, and not focus only on **W-Pu**. This philosophy **is** reflected in recent reports from RAND and the National Academy of Sciences, who recommend that **W-Pu**, in the near term, need only be put in some form that makes it as hard to obtain as the Pu in SF. Their recommendation is to mix the W-PU with high level waste (**HLW**) and **vitrify**, or fabricate a mixed-oxide (**MOX**) fuel and burn through one cycle in a reactor. Indeed, although the ABC approach is capable of complete **burnup** of plutonium, we have **recommended** developing ABC only in the context of addressing a strategy to deal with all plutonium. This subject also is political in nature, as a number of nations are developing methods and plants for separation and use of Pu from commercial SF, while the US has a long standing policy against reprocessing commercial SF.

ABC

Given this philosophy, in the context of dealing with **all** plutonium, transmuting **W-Pu** is an excellent **first** step as it is technically more straightforward--no removal of

cladding, uranium, or fission products is required for W-Pu. We have developed the ABC concept as an approach that provides a proliferation free product--all **Pu** is **fissioned**--as well as a proliferation resistant approach. In ABC, a “cauldron” or blanket containing **graphite** moderator is **fueled** with a lithium fluoride-beryllium fluoride molten salt carrier to which **Pu** is added. We have modeled a single module blanket producing 500 **MW** of thermal power, for which the initial **Pu** inventory is only 20 kg. The blanket assembly is driven with a 15-18 **mA** beam of 1 **GeV** protons that produce neutrons through **spallation** from a molten lead target inside the **blanket**. The graphite moderator slows both **spallation** and fission neutrons, providing a near-thermal spectrum. Neutron multiplication in the plutonium fuel is about a factor of 20 ($k_{eff} = 0.96$). This multiplication is **well** subcritical; the standard for storage of spent fuel is $k_{eff} = 0.95$.

As the **Pu** is burned out of the **fuel**, new **Pu** is added to replace it. In addition, the build up of fission products (**FP**) requires further addition of **Pu** to maintain reactivity. However, the fluid fuel allows the removal of more than half the fission products “naturally”. The noble gases are volatile, and the noble metals can be plated out on a cool surface or on an electrode. Yet, no plutonium is removed during a cycle. A cycle is concluded after about 10 years of operation as the concentration of **Pu** and **FP** approaches saturation in the molten **salt**. At this time, the **Pu** inventory has grown to about 230 kg, and a **total of** 2.3 metric tons of **Pu** has been fed into the blanket. All isotopes of **Pu** have been reduced by a factor of 10, and the **Pu-239** isotope by a factor of 50. It appears **that**, with the addition of some **highly** enriched uranium (**HEU**), a second cycle can be initiated that will burn out the remaining **Pu**. With solid fuel there **is** no **FP** removal, **limiting** the maximum **Pu** reduction in a single cycle to about a factor of 3. Therefore, a solid fuel will **require at least** twice as many **cycles** to accomplish the same completeness of **Pu** destruction.

The rate of transmutation allows each 500 MWT module to keep up with the production of **Pu** and higher actinides in a 1 GWE light water reactor. A transmutation park is envisioned in which a single accelerator drives four to six blanket modules. Thermal energy would be used to power a steam plant for generation of electric power. Ten to *twenty per* cent of the electric power would be required to operate the accelerator, and the remainder would be available for commercial or other use.

Why is the accelerator important? The answer is safety and control. Plutonium offers a delayed neutron fraction that is only one-third that of uranium fuel, so that a reactor fueled by **Pu** only runs much closer to criticality from prompt neutrons than does a uranium fueled reactor. Furthermore, the addition of fertile U-238 to provide a negative temperature

coefficient of reactivity results in breeding of Pu-239, which is counterproductive to the goal of complete **Pu burnup**. In addition, the growth of Pu and FP inventories greatly reduces the leverage or worth of control rods during a cycle, much like the addition of buffers to a solution reduces the impact on **pH** of the addition of a *small* amount of acid or base. For plutonium burning, the accelerator enables operation that is substantially subcritical, and provides a control mechanism that is independent of the changing inventories and the differences with delayed neutrons.

This molten salt blanket concept is based on extensive experience at Oak Ridge **National** Laboratory with the Molten Salt Reactor Experiment and with design effort toward the Molten Salt Breeder Reactor. Technical development will require bench chemistry to determine volatility limits in **Pu** fuel, and additional examination of material compatibility with the salt. Our modeling capability allows us to begin calculation with the interaction of the incident protons with the lead **target**, and to end with detailed values for the **end-of-cycle** inventories and activities of all isotopes.

High Level Waste Transmutation--ATW

Pigford has examined the relative importance of various long-lived isotopes in a long term storage system such as the geological repository for commercial spent fuel proposed for Yucca Mountain. He has developed a "relative dose index" based on the product of repository inventory, rate of dissolution, and dose conversion factor for each isotope. These indicate that of the seven **fission** products with very long lifetimes, Technetium-99, Iodine-129, and **Cesium-135** have dose indices some 10,000 times greater than the remaining four long lived **fission** products (**LLFP**). (Plutonium-239 and 240 and **Americium-241** and 243am the **actinides** with high dose indices, and these will be removed during ABC transmutation.)

Once again, national policy and politics become ingredients in the development plan, as gaining access to **these** particular fission products requires their separation from spent fuel. However, several factors make transmutation of these three fission products very attractive. First, if we have embarked upon the path of destroying Pu in SF, the fission products are available for chemical separation. Second, the accelerator provides extra neutrons which can be used to transmute the important **fission** products through neutron capture followed by beta decay into stable or short-lived isotopes--and these **three** important **fission** products have high thermal neutron capture cross sections. Third, since **in** this scenario, the important **actinides** will have been destroyed, transmutation of these

three fission products results in a major impact on the lifetime requirements of the repository: except for the four remaining isotopes, three of which are noble metals and all of which are only slightly radioactive, the remaining isotopes have half-lives of 30 years and less.

The ATW approach has not yet been worked out in **detail**. However, modeling has demonstrated that the neutron economy will allow transmutation of the three major FPs at the rates required to keep up with production. This is extremely difficult if not impossible to accomplish with a reactor. For FP transmutation, proliferation resistance of the process is not required, as no weapon can be constructed from these materials. Therefore, continuous processing is possible, and may well be necessary. This could be accomplished by running FP loops through a **Pu** burning blanket, keeping the fission products isolated from the **Pu-bearing** fuel. Alternatively, part of the accelerator beam could be used to drive a blanket containing only fission products, again using real time, on line separations technology. In this case, power from the **Pu-burning** blankets would be used to provide the necessary beam.

Both **plutonium/actinide** destruction and fission product transmutation would provide **waste** forms that are consistent with current standards and options. Noble metals would preferably be stored as ingots, perhaps along with ingots of the zirconium cladding from SF. Uranium from spent fuel can be recycled; its enrichment of 0.9% is slightly greater than that for natural uranium (0.72%), and mining is **unnecessary**. **Cesium-135** transmutation will require that Cs be isotopically separated--the only element for which this is necessary. This is because stable **Cs-133** will breed **Cs-135**, and 30-year **Cs-137**, with its high neutron **capture** cross section, would harm the neutron economy. The separated **Cs-137**, a hard gamma ray emitter, can be contained very well as a **silicotitanate**, using a process developed by **Sandia** National Laboratories. Other fission products can be converted to oxides for **vitrification**.

Energy Generation with Thorium Fuel

Natural thorium is 100% Th-232, which will breed U-233, through neutron capture followed by two beta decays in a thermal neutron environment. U-233 itself is **fissile**, and can make an excellent fuel. The advantages to this approach are that thorium is plentiful in the earth's **crust**; any unburned U-233 can be "denatured" with U-238 so that it cannot be used for a nuclear weapon, and no U-238 is present in the neutron flux to breed Pu. Furthermore, using thorium in an **ABC/ATW-like** system can result in a relatively clean

waste stream that contains few, if any, long lived fission products. Surplus W-PU or HEU could be used to supply neutrons to initiate the U-233 breeding, therefore providing a value added for these Cold War relics that exceeds the value to be obtained by burning them only for their energy.

Although this was one of the initial ADTT concepts, it for many reasons will probably be the last to be adapted. However, given the vastly increasing global energy needs forecast for the next half century, mostly due to emerging nations, energy generation could well be the **ADTT** application that has the most **significant** impact on society and the biosphere.

Conclusion

Accelerator Driven Transmutation Technologies include a number of applications that can play **significant** roles overcoming decades. **First**, the ABC approach can destroy plutonium with a completeness and proliferation resistance well exceeding those of other proposed methods. Second, ATW transmutation of fission products in commercial spent fuel, coupled with SF Pu and **actinide** destruction with ABC, can have a major impact on waste storage scenarios. The volume and mass to be stored is greatly reduced, the proliferation attractiveness **is nonexistent**, and the storage period requirement becomes several human lifetimes, rather than geological times. Finally, energy production with thorium fuel could become the environmentally clean source necessary to meet growing global requirements.